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## Basics of Depreciation

Depreciation : Decline in asset prices due to the aging of asset (Hulten and Wykoff 1981)

## 3 Categories:

1. Physical Deterioration
2. Functional Obsolescence
3. Economic Obsolescence

## Methods of Estimating Depreciation

- Sales Comparison Method
- Capitalization of Income Method
- Overall Age-Life Method
- Engineering Breakdown Method
- Observed Condition Breakdown Method


## Standard Repeat Sales Model

- First Sale

$$
P_{t}=e^{\gamma_{t}} f\left(X_{t} ; \beta_{t}\right)
$$

$\checkmark P_{t}$ : purchase price in period t
$\checkmark f\left(X_{t} ; \beta_{t}\right)$ : unknown function of period-specific characteristics of the home $(X)$ and their shadow price ( $\beta$ )
$\checkmark e^{\gamma_{t}}$ : the influence of period-specific market conditions that are common to all properties in the geographic market

- Second Sale

$$
P_{t+\tau}=e^{\gamma_{t+\tau}} f\left(X_{t+\tau} ; \beta_{t+\tau}\right)
$$

- No physical change between these two sales

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{t+\tau}=e^{\gamma_{t+\tau}-\gamma_{t} P_{t}} \\
\log \frac{P_{t+\tau}}{P_{t}}=\gamma_{t+\tau}-\gamma_{t}+\varepsilon_{t+\tau}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Standard Repeat Sales Model(continued)

- $\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\sum_{t=1}^{\tau_{i}} \gamma_{t} D_{t, i}+\varepsilon_{t, i}$ for observation $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
- $D_{t, i}$ year dummies in period $t$, it equals -1 if it sells for the first time 1 if sells for the second time 0 if not sold
- Paird Sale House price Indices

S\&P/Case-Shiller Home Price Indexes
Freddie Mac and OFHEO House Price indexes

## Data

- Rolling sales for single - three family homes in five boroughs of New York City
- Arms-length transaction: removing sales between family members, foreclosure sales, estate sales, corporate sales, government sales, etc.
- 67,704 Paired sales during 2000 Q1-2016 Q2
- Removed ones with reported major renovations between paired sales



## Data Summary

| Variable | $\mathbf{N}$ | 25th <br> Pctl | 50th <br> Pctl | 75th <br> Pctl | Mean | Std <br> Dev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holding period | 67,704 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 3.37 |
| Age at purchase | 67,704 | 48.00 | 75.00 | 86.00 | 65.25 | 30.92 |
| Age at sale | 67,704 | 53.00 | 79.00 | 92.00 | 70.24 | 30.77 |
| Purchase <br> Price(\$) <br> Sale Price(\$) | 67,704 | 269,000 | 370,000 | 509,000 | 438,151 | 504393 |

## Age-related depreciation

- Price Change = Inflation + Net of Maintenance Depreciation

$$
r_{\text {price change }}=r_{i}-\left(r_{\text {dep }}-r_{\text {maint }}\right)
$$

- Collinearity - nonlinear depreciation function
- Model A: $\log \tau$ (Lee, Ching and Kim 2005; Harding, Rosenthal and Sirmans 2007)

$$
\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\sum_{t=1}^{\tau_{i}} \gamma_{t} D_{t, i}+\alpha \log \tau_{i}+\varepsilon_{t, i}
$$

Price Depreciation
inflation

- $\alpha$ is the elasticity of housing price depreciation with respect to the change in age between sale dates



## Price Inflation

## Depreciation Curve



## Model B: Depreciation with Age Groups

- Depreciation - continuously
- Maintenance - cyclical
- $\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\sum_{t=1}^{\tau_{i}} \gamma_{t} D_{t, i}+\alpha_{j}\left(D_{a g p_{j}} * \log \tau_{j, i}\right)+\varepsilon_{t, i}$
- Different Age Groups

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\checkmark & 0-10,11-20, \ldots, 110+ \\
\checkmark & 0-10,11-20, \ldots, 111-120,121-150,150+ \\
\checkmark & 0-5,6-12,13-20,21-30, \ldots, 110+ \\
\checkmark & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

- $D_{a g p_{j}}=1$ if part of years $t+\tau$ belongs to this age group, otherwise $D_{a g p_{j}}=0$


## An Example

- $\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\sum_{t=1}^{\tau_{i}} \gamma_{t} D_{t, i}+\alpha_{j}\left(D_{a g p_{j}} * \log \tau_{j, i}\right)+\varepsilon_{t, i}$
- A house built in 1980 was sold in 2003 and in 2014.
$\checkmark$ age=23 for the $1^{\text {st }}$ sale, and age=34 for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ sale
$\checkmark$ depreciation for 11 years belongs to two age groups
$\checkmark$ Depreciation function: $\alpha_{2} \log 7+\alpha_{3} \log 4$


## Housing Price Depreciation in Log-log Regression Models <br> Model A <br> Model B

| Variable | Parameter |  | Parameter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | t-ratio | Pr>\|t| | Estimate | t-ratio | Pr>\|t| |
| t(1-max) | -0.1451 | -30.8 | <. 0001 |  |  |  |
| t(1-10) |  |  |  | -0.0677 | -25.94 | <. 0001 |
| t(11-20) |  |  |  | -0.0438 | -16.61 | <. 0001 |
| t(21-30) |  |  |  | -0.0467 | -15.07 | <. 0001 |
| т(31-40) |  |  |  | -0.0458 | -18.58 | <. 0001 |
| t(41-50) |  |  |  | -0.042 | -16.28 | <. 0001 |
| t(51-60) |  |  |  | -0.0354 | -13.23 | <. 0001 |
| т(61-70) |  |  |  | -0.03 | -12.22 | <. 0001 |
| т(71-80) |  |  |  | -0.0341 | -14.78 | <. 0001 |
| t(81-90) |  |  |  | -0.0401 | -16.45 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(91-100)$ |  |  |  | -0.0422 | -14.67 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(101-110)$ |  |  |  | -0.0355 | -10.43 | <. 0001 |
| [(110+) |  |  |  | -0.0017 | -0.26 | 0.7913 |

## Depreciation Curve with 10-year Group



## Two-step Linear Depreciation

- Adjust sales price by inflation using Housing Price Inflation Index from the nonlinear model in the $1^{\text {st }}$ step, then calculate depreciation in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step
- Model C: $\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\alpha \tau_{i}+\varepsilon_{t, i}$
- Model D: $\log \frac{P_{t+\tau, i}}{P_{t, i}}=\alpha_{j}\left(D_{a g p_{j}} * \tau_{j, i}\right)+\varepsilon_{t, i}$


## Housing Price Depreciation in Log-linear Regression Models Model C <br> Model D

|  | Parameter Estimate | t-ratio | Pr>\|t| | Parameter Estimate | t-ratio | $\operatorname{Pr}>\|\mathrm{t}\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau(1-\mathrm{max})$ | -0.0178 | -45.97 | <. 0001 |  |  |  |
| $\tau(1-10)$ |  |  |  | -0.0298 | -41.71 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(11-20)$ |  |  |  | -0.0215 | -36.09 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(21-30)$ |  |  |  | -0.0222 | -22.18 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(31-40)$ |  |  |  | -0.0208 | -19.47 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(41-50)$ |  |  |  | -0.0181 | -23.33 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(51-60)$ |  |  |  | -0.0148 | -22.86 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(61-70)$ |  |  |  | -0.0108 | -13.43 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(71-80)$ |  |  |  | -0.0141 | -20.15 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(81-90)$ |  |  |  | -0.0163 | -24.89 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(91-100)$ |  |  |  | -0.0194 | -18.51 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(101-110)$ |  |  |  | -0.01 | -5.99 | <. 0001 |
| $\tau(110+)$ |  |  |  | -0.0007 | -0.17 | 0.8664 |

## Median Depreciation Rate in Sample

- First adjust sales price by inflation, then measures the price changes as they age from the $1^{\text {st }}$ sale to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ sale
- Depreciation rate $=\frac{\text { Sale } 1-\text { Sale } 2}{\text { Holding Period in Years }}$

| Age | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of <br> Sales | 3,735 | 3,466 | 3,128 | 2,799 | 2,485 | 2,204 | 1,897 |
| Median | $1.66 \%$ | $1.60 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | $1.43 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ |
| Mean | $1.11 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ |

## Depreciation Curves



## Summary

- Repeat Sale Model give us a lot of options to model depreciation- use your own judgments
- Results agree with leading providers of building cost data
- We further use this depreciation schedule in our cost approach for single - three family homes in the borough of Brooklyn, model B achieves the best horizontal and vertical equity

